

Stressed Sperm: The Integrated Links Between Stress, Sperm Health, and Male Infertility

Enola N. Hastiin

Cummings Graduate Institute

DBH 9000-1: Pathophysiology in Biodyne Context

Dr. Heather Jelonek

October 19th 2025

Author Note

In the process of writing this paper, the writer used the following artificial intelligence (AI) technologies and writing tools:

ChatGPT (paid subscription) was used for checking spelling errors, grammar, the recommendation of structural content flow, study/data synthesis, and study/data analysis.

Citation Machine (free) was used to create and generate the reference list citations.

Abstract

Chronic psychological stress is a modifiable and yet under-recognized factor which influences male infertility. Through both biological and behavioral pathways, chronic stress is harmful to sperm health through suppression of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), luteinizing hormone (LH), and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH). Chronic stress leads to reduced testosterone production, impaired spermatogenesis, and diminished sperm count and motility. Concurrently, stress-related emotional dysregulation and maladaptive coping behaviors further compromise sperm health. Despite these findings, contemporary standard fertility evaluations and treatment remain focused primarily on physiological and endocrinal interventions, neglecting behavioral and psychological contributors which could be highly modifiable.

This paper reviews current literature highlighting the bidirectional relationship between stress and male infertility and proposes an integrated behavioral-healthcare model in fertility treatment clinics. Evidence-informed modalities such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT), and mindfulness-based interventions have been shown to reduce psychological stress and improve emotional regulation. Incorporating these psychological therapies into fertility care may enhance treatment adherence, endocrine regulation, and reproductive outcomes. The adoption of integrated behavioral health within reproductive medicine represents a necessary, but currently ignored, evolution toward comprehensive, patient-centered

infertility treatment. Developing standardized clinical pathways that include behavioral health screening and stress-management interventions within male-infertility evaluations could improve diagnostic accuracy, and enhance positive treatment outcomes of male infertility issues.

The contents of this work are relevant to providers of infertility healthcare, integrated healthcare clinicians, behavioral healthcare clinicians, healthcare leaders, healthcare policy makers, behavioral and physiological healthcare students, and infertility patients vested in understanding their own healthcare. Professionals with varying degree of experience can benefit from this knowledge ranging from novice clinical providers to those with considerably more experience. Readers may find this work beneficial to advocacy efforts, the treatment of, and furthering research surrounding male-based infertility healthcare and best treatment practices.

Key words: acceptance and commitment therapy, cognitive-behavioral therapy, integrated behavioral healthcare, male infertility, mindfulness, reproductive medicine, sperm health, stress

Stressed Sperm: The Integrated Links Between Stress, Sperm Health, and Male Infertility

Male infertility is a serious challenge which most couples face when experiencing fertility-related complications. Sperm health, which can be directly impacted by psychological stress, contributes to nearly half of all infertility cases globally, posing a major yet underrecognized public health challenge (Nordkap et al., 2020). This paper is intended to explore the relevant connection between chronic psychological stress and male infertility related to sperm health, while proposing an integrated behavioral healthcare approach that embeds stress management interventions into fertility evaluation and treatment. In clinical settings, particularly among specialized fertility treatment centers, evaluations often emphasize hormonal and physiological testing but miss the mark of integrated behavioral healthcare by overlooking psychological stress as a contributing factor to male infertility (Amoah et al., 2025). This gap in comprehensive evaluation and corresponding treatment recommendations persists, even though the current research indicates that chronic stress is harmful on sperm health, function, and hormonal regulation. Thus, representing the need for more comprehensive, integrated approaches to male infertility care. In this work, the gap between what likely should represent best clinical practice, which will be proposed through an integrated clinical pathway, set against the current standard of care, will be examined and challenged using evidence-based findings from current qualitative and quantitative research.

Modifiable Factors Linking Stress and Sperm Health

The health and function of sperm cells are shaped by numerous lifestyle influences. Currently, there is mounting evidence identifying chronic psychological stress as a modifiable risk factor (Choudhary et al., 2025; Amoah et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025). As it is related to sperm health and male infertility, chronic stress exposure alters gene expression and cellular metabolism, directly compromising sperm's genetic constitution and efficacy. Exposure to chronic psychological stress disrupts sperm health through interconnected physiological hormonal and cellular processes (Odetayo et al., 2024; Nordkap et al., 2020).

Biological Stress Responses

Stress activates the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, increasing glucocorticoid release and stimulating gonadotropin inhibitory hormone (GnIH), which in turn suppresses gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), luteinizing hormone (LH), and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH). The suppression of these key reproductive hormones reduces overall testosterone production and disrupting spermatogenesis, leading to diminished sperm count and a poor sperm quality (Odetayo et al., 2024; Nordkap et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2025). At the cellular level, chronic stress alters mitochondrial function through dysregulation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, and the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex axis, thus decreasing pyruvate conversion

and impairing the tricarboxylic acid cycle. These metabolic disturbances reduce the synthesis of adenosine triphosphate. The consequence of these stress-based reactions limits sperm motility and overall viability.

Behavioral & Psychological Considerations

Considering beyond the biological consequences, stress also contributes to significant emotional and behavioral consequences which further exacerbate male-infertility outcomes (Roudbaraki et al., 2024; Amoah et al., 2025; Choudhary et al., 2025). Psychological stress in men experiencing infertility is associated with significant and ongoing emotional distress, poor emotional regulation, and harmful patterns of behavior. Increased levels of depression, anxiety, and chronic stress among males with non-optimal sperm health is correlated with considerable levels of mental and emotional stress. Additionally, these challenges often intensify as infertility persists. This psychological strain is frequently accompanied by unhealthy lifestyle factors which are often exacerbated by psychological stress and the correlating stress-based behaviors such as poor dietary patterns, decreased physical activity, and obesity, each of which further contribute to hormonal imbalance and reduced sperm quality. Moreover, chronic stress may perpetuate maladaptive coping behaviors, thus reinforcing the bidirectional relationship between stress, poor sperm health, and overall male infertility. Together, it is apparent that stress and stressed-based behavioral dysregulation operate alongside biological mechanisms to compound fertility challenges.

Integrated Behavioral Healthcare Interventions

At present, there is a notable lack of research directly examining the impact of stress reduction interventions on sperm health or male fertility outcomes. However, current literature supports skills-based mindfulness therapies as beneficial for stress management and for improving outcomes related to infertility recovery (Hämmerli et al., 2024; De Klerk et al., 2024; Li et al., 2022). While studies consistently demonstrate that chronic stress negatively affects reproductive and psychological functioning, as evidenced in earlier sections of this paper, current empirical evidence evaluating the effectiveness of targeted stress-reduction programs for improving sperm parameters remains limited. Therefore, the topics outlined in this section are derived from existing literature supporting the general benefits of stress management on overall reproductive health and the associated psychological well-being of men experiencing reproductive health concerns. The following content reflects the informed opinion of the student-writer, integrating current evidence-based stress reduction modalities that may hold relevance for improving fertility outcomes within an integrated behavioral healthcare framework. Future research is recommended to explore specific mental health interventions for stress reduction as they relate to measurable male sperm health and infertility outcomes.

Integrated Care Treatment Modalities

Several established behavioral health treatment modalities have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing elevated levels of psychological stress. Among the most widely supported approaches are cognitive-behavioral therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, and mindfulness-based interventions (Zhou et al., 2024; Barbosa et al., 2024; Hämmerli et al., 2024; De Klerk et al., 2022). Each of these modalities facilitates the reduction of elevated stress levels by targeting harmful thought patterns, teaching emotional regulation, and improving psychological flexibility plus adaptive response coping. As chronic psychological stress decreases through psychotherapeutic interventions, the body's natural biophysiological balance can be restored, reengaging homeostatic processes and promoting endocrine regulation. Consequently, improvements in sperm health may result from the normalization of endocrine functioning.

Integrating behavioral health into reproductive medicine has been shown to improve patient outcomes, enhance emotional well-being, and support treatment adherence through the management of infertility-related stress (Woodward et al., 2023; Chirag & Kim, 2023; Cooper et al., 2024). Integrated behavioral healthcare improves the treatment of male infertility and increases outcomes by reducing the emotional burden of infertility, which can lead to a diagnosable mental health disorder if not cared for, increasing engagement with treatment providers, protocols, and, in some cases,

enhancing reproductive success through lower physiological stress levels. Emotional well-being improves as patients gain access to supportive counseling and stress-management interventions that help regulate mood, strengthen resilience, and reduce the sense of isolation often associated with infertility. Additionally, there are notable improvements in communication between the patient and their broader care team (Woodward et al., 2023; Cooper et al., 2024). Integrated behavioral healthcare also improves infertility treatment adherence by reducing psychological barriers and distress associated with ongoing care, decreasing early termination of fertility treatment, and enhancing patient motivation to comply with treatment recommendations (Woodward et al., 2023; Chirag & Kim, 2023; Cooper et al., 2024).

Conclusion and Future Directions

The evidence reviewed in this paper underscores the urgent need to integrate behavioral health services within the broader framework of contemporary reproductive and fertility medicine, especially as it is related to male reproductive healthcare, placing emphasis on sperm health. Chronic psychological stress contributes directly and indirectly to impaired sperm function, reduced hormonal balance, and diminished reproductive capacity. The current standard of care with fertility evaluations and treatment plans continue to focus primarily on physiological and hormonal assessments only. This narrow approach overlooks the bidirectional relationship between the mind-body connection that is essential to reproductive health.

Integrated behavioral healthcare offers a clinically practical and evidence-informed solution to this gap. By embedding stress-management based supportive counseling services, infertility providers can more holistically address the male patient. This integration not only promotes endocrine regulation and improved sperm health but also enhances emotional well-being, treatment adherence, and increases the likelihood of successful treatment adherence from admission to successful termination.

Ultimately, the inclusion of behavioral health professionals within multidisciplinary fertility teams would represent a shift toward comprehensive care that reflects the complexity of male reproductive health. Integration between medical and mental health providers can strengthen diagnostic accuracy, increase treatment engagement, and foster resilience among patients and their partners navigating infertility. The adoption of integrated behavioral healthcare is therefore not a supplemental option but a necessary advancement in the standard of male infertility treatment.

Future clinical directions should prioritize developing and implementing integrated care models within fertility settings that routinely assess psychological stress and provide integrated behavioral health interventions. Interdisciplinary collaboration between reproductive specialists, mental healthcare clinicians, and primary care clinicians can enhance both treatment precision and patient experience. In addition, future research should directly examine the role of psychological stress as a primary

catalyst for impaired male sperm health and the associated infertility outcomes, as current studies remain largely correlational rather than causative. Establishing this direct relationship may be essential to shaping evidence-based standards of care that combine physiological evaluation with behavioral health screening as routine practice in the management of male infertility issues.

References

- Amoah, B. Y., Yao Bayamina, S., Gborsong, C., Owusu, H., Asare, G. A., Yeboah, E. K., Ablakwa, J., & Hammond, G. (2025). Modifiable life style factors and male reproductive health: A cross-sectional study in IVF clinic attendees in Ghana. *Frontiers in Reproductive Health*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frph.2025.1520938>
- Barbosa, C., Santos, S., & Pedro, J. (2024). Mapping acceptance and commitment therapy outcomes in the context of infertility: A systematic review. *Current Psychology*, 43(16), 14634–14644. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-05294-6>
- Calvert, J. K., Fendereski, K., Ghaed, M., Bearely, P., Patel, D. P., & Hotaling, J. M. (2022). The male infertility evaluation still matters in the era of high efficacy assisted reproductive technology. *Fertility and Sterility*, 118(1), 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.05.008>
- Chirag, D. N., & Kim, E. D. (2023, November 9). *Male Infertility*. medscape.com. <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/436829-print>
- Choudhary, P., Dogra, P., & Sharma, K. (2025). Infertility and lifestyle factors: How habits shape reproductive health. *Middle East Fertility Society Journal*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43043-025-00228-7>
- Cooper, B. C., Domar, A. D., & Rooney, K. L. (2024). Routine psychosocial care and mind–body programs in infertility: Patient access and outcome disparities. *Human*

Reproduction, 40(10), 1829–1841.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deae119>

De Klerk, H. C., Van Dougen, E. A., & Verhaak, A. J. (2024). Efficacy of a mobile mindfulness-based intervention on infertility-related distress: A randomized controlled trial. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15(3), 881–1154.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.8811542>

Hämmerli, K., Hediger, P. B., & Barth, J. (2024). Effects of a mind–body program for infertility: A randomized controlled trial. *Human Reproduction*, 8, 1735–1746.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deae093>

Li, H., Janakiraman, J., Cheema, S., Zafar, M. S., & Sadasivam, M. (2022). Yoga improves sperm quality and gene expression related to oxidative stress in men with infertility. *Nature*, 12, 158–174.

Nordkap, L., Priskorn, L., Bräuner, E. V., Marie Hansen, Å., Kirstine Bang, A., Holmboe, S. A., Winge, S. B., Egeberg Palme, D. L., Mørup, N., Erik Skakkebæk, N., Kold Jensen, T., & Jørgensen, N. (2020). Impact of psychological stress measured in three different scales on testis function: A cross-sectional study of 1362 young men.

Andrology, 8(6), 1674–1686. <https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12835>

Odetayo, A. F., Akhigbe, R. E., Bassey, G. E., Hamed, M. A., & Olayaki, L. A. (2024). Impact of stress on male fertility: Role of gonadotropin inhibitory hormone. *Frontiers in*

Endocrinology, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1329564>

Roudbaraki, S. N., Ramezani, M., Saifi, B., Salimi, M., & Issapour Cheshani, M. (2025).

Assessing psychological health and reproductive function: Depression, anxiety, and stress in infertile men compared to controls: A case-control study.

International Journal of Reproductive BioMedicine (IJRM), 22(12), 1025–1034.

<https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v22i12.18068>

Wang, W., Qikai, W., Zilin, W., Junyan, S., Xiaocui, J., Qi, L., Shuhui, C., Yangyang, Z.,

Mengjie, G., Siyi, C., Jigang, C., & Min, X. (2025). Depression, anxiety, and stress impair sperm quality via dysregulation of the mitochondrial PDK–PDC axis.

Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, 23(1), 127–140.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-025-01458-0>

Woodward, J. T., Cirino, N. H., Copland, S., & Davoudian, T. (2023). Integrated Behavioral

Health Care in reproductive medicine: How and why to include mental health professionals in infertility care teams. *Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 67(1),

222–232. <https://doi.org/10.1097/grf.0000000000000829>

Zhou, L., Zhang, H., Yang, X., & Chen, L. (2024). *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.150842>